	TED STATES IN THE DISTRI			
UNITED STATES OF AMER	ICA)	Case No.	MJ17-00478BMK
P	laintiff,)	May 9, 20: 1:40 p.m.	17
vs.)		
DREW WILSON CRANDALL,)	H G D'-1	
)	U.S. Dist	rict Court

TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING AND PRELIMINARY HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE BARRY M. KURREN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

Defendant.

By: DARREN W.K. CHING, ESQ. 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, #6100

300 Ala Moana Boulevard

Honolulu, HI 96850

Honolulu, HI 96850

For Plaintiff: U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

DISTRICT OF UTAH

By: MICHAEL GADD, ESQ. 111 South Street, #1800 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

For Defendant: FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE

By: SHANLYN A.S. PARK, ESQ. 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, #7104

Honolulu, HI 96850

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

Maukele Transcribers LLC
Jessica B. Cahill, CER/CET-708
P.O. Box 1652
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793
Telephone: (808)244-0776



MAY 9, 2017 1:40 P.M.

THE CLERK: All rise. United States District Court for the District of Hawaii with the Honorable Barry M. Kurren, United States Magistrate Judge, presiding is now convened. The gallery may be seated.

Magistrate Judge number 17-00478BMK, United States of America v. Drew Wilson Crandall. This hearing has been called on a detention hearing and preliminary hearing. Counsel, appearances for the record please.

MR. CHING: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Darren Ching on behalf of the United States. Also appearing with us Michael Gadd.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. PARK: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Shanlyn Park from the Office of the Federal Public Defender on behalf of Drew Wilson Crandall who is present.

THE COURT: Yes, good afternoon. So I know you've already had an initial appearance in this matter, and so today the case is before the Court for a preliminary hearing and a detention hearing. I gather it would also be an identity hearing as well or you waived that already?

MS. PARK: We waived that.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So a preliminary hearing and detention. So where do we stand -- first, before we get to the matter of detention or bail, what are we going to do about the



1 preliminary hearing? MS. PARK: Your Honor, we're going to have the 2 3 preliminary -- would like to have the preliminary hearing in the district of which he is charged. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MS. PARK: So we're not going forward with anything 7 here. 8 THE COURT: I assume that's acceptable with the 9 Government? 10 MR. GADD: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Very well, that's the route we will 11 12 So let's get to the matter of detention. I assume each of 13 you has received a copy of the report that's been prepared. 14 Gentlemen, I'll have the Government address this first. 15 MR. GADD: Thank you, sir. I have just a few exhibits 16 for the Court --17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. GADD: -- and the Court has those. 19 THE COURT: I have a copy of the set that you supplied 20 to my courtroom manager just before the hearing. 21 MR. GADD: Wonderful. I've given a copy to the Defense 22 as well. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. GADD: I'm excited to be here. Frankly, I'm a 25 little nervous. We've been waiting for this for a long time.

> MAUKELE TRANSCRIBERS LLC www.maukeletranscribers.com (808)244-0776

means a great deal both for my office and to the people of Utah, and I appreciate the Court hearing us on this. I want to talk just for a minute about the scheme, and then talk about where the Defendant fit in the scheme.

So if I can invite the Court to look at Government's Exhibit 1. What you see there is a penny and then the bottle. Inside the bottle there is some white dots. That's Fentanyl. This is a lethal dose of Fentanyl. Fentanyl is incredibly potent. Much more potent than morphine or heroin. Fentanyl is incredibly cheap.

These Defendants, they import it from primarily China and because it's so cheap it can be used in a number of ways. It can be used to mix with low grade heroin to sell on the street, but in this case it was used to create fake pills, primarily oxycodone pills, but as you'll see there's all sorts of pills they were willing to sell. The oxycodones are the ones that are giving us all of our trouble.

If I can invite the Court to look at Exhibit number 2. This is the pill press that the organization was running when we hit the door and went in the house. It was running. This is in a locked room in his business partner's residence. You can kind of see the metal B. That's a funnel, and then the press is everything next to it.

You can see, although it's difficult, residue on the walls, what looks like a Shop-Vac. Most of this was destroyed.



The house has been condemned. Hazmat crews had to clean it up. They served the warrant in a level A hazmat gear.

This press and the others like it -- there were four total in the house that we caught -- produces pills. So they buy the press, and then they buy dies and stamps that allows them, you know, with binding agents and colors, to take a rock controlled substance like powdered Fentanyl and mix it with these inert substances, and they create a pill that looks like oxycodone, and it's stamped like oxycodone, and they're remarkably profitable. They can make these pills for fractions of a penny and to sell them as if they're legitimate pharmaceuticals.

In Utah, a 30 milligram oxycodone pill might sell on the street from anywhere between \$20 and \$30 in the Salt Lake metro area. Once you get into our rural communities it sells for much higher. I'm told by agents here that the price is significantly higher here. You can see the profit behind it quite easily.

In order to sell these -- these Defendants, to the best of our knowledge, weren't selling them, you know, door-to-door, hand-to-hand at the park. They were selling on line, on the Dark Web. The Dark Web is a subset of the internet as we think of it. It's web pages that in order to access you need a specific browser. It's web pages that are indexed by companies you might consider like Google.



They index, you know, Surface Web. The Dark Web is not indexed in that same way and not by those companies, but with the right browser and with a little bit of training you can go on the Dark Web, and you can buy pills like those from defendants like this one.

There have been a number of Dark Web market places that have popped up and the Government has tried hard to knock them down as soon as they pop up, the most common of which is the Silk Road. It was taken down a few years ago, and then there was Silk Road 2.0, one called Agora, which of course is Greek for market. The one that they were selling when we caught them, at least during most of their business that we could find, was a Dark Web marketplace called AlphaBay. I don't know, but I suspect it's like a spin-off of eBay.

If I could invite the Court to look at Exhibit 3. This is a screenshot of their storefront on AlphaBay. It's grainy — and I'll tell the Court, frankly, I'm fine with it being grainy. I worry a little bit in that, you know, the things we're doing here are public, and I'm not in the business of educating new drug dealers. And so, I'm happy that it's grainy, but I can tell you roughly what we're looking at. These are fake and counterfeit pharmaceutical pills for sale. They're sold in different quantities, they're sold for different amounts, but they sold a steady stream.

It quickly became an organization that was too big for



Mr. Crandall, who other co-conspirators described as the brains behind the operation, the mastermind. His partner, Mr. Shamo, who we have in custody in the District of Utah, he's very much the ringleader. He was the one to go out perhaps and recruit straw purchasers or nominees, but every co-conspirator and Mr. Shamo's appearance himself have indicated he's just not that smart. He couldn't have set this up by himself. Instead, they view Mr. Crandall as the mastermind behind it. They had to bring in, like I mentioned, other co-conspirators. People close to the organization and then people a little farther away.

I'll give you an example. One of the risks that they face when they're running this organization is they're having to import from China things like Fentanyl, or a pill press, or dies, or stamps, and law enforcement does our very best to catch it before it gets into the country.

And so, if they put their own name and shipping address on that package of Fentanyl there's a chance that we're going to knock on their door and ask them what's going on. Instead, they hire nominees and straw purchasers. And so — and we've interviewed dozens of these people.

Essentially, here is how it always worked. They're friends of the Defendant, they're friends of Mr. Shamo. They party together, perhaps they work together. And so, they are approached and for anywhere between, you know, maybe 2- or \$400 a package, they agree to accept these packages on behalf of the



organization. Most of them knew what they were doing was wrong. They all denied that they knew how serious it was, but they were happy to take the Defendant's and Mr. Shamo's money.

More close to the center of the organization, pressing the pills takes time, packaging the pills takes time, shipping the pill takes time, combining the orders for the day off the Dark Web marketplace, and then getting those to the packagers and the shippers takes time. And so they started to fill all these roles with people they could trust.

If I could invite the Court to look at Exhibit 4, this is one package of many that we picked off right before we took down Mr. Shamo at his residence. By that point in the investigation, we had figured out who these packagers were. So two women who were packaging these pills in boxes, affixing the shipping label, shortly thereafter we figured out who was telling them, hey, these are the orders for the day, here are the shipping labels, print those out, get the postage and stick them on there.

This is just the typical package. This is what -- if you're a customer of Mr. Crandall's and Mr. Shamo's, this is what you can expect to receive in the mail. It's not unusual; in fact it was in the course of business to write a fake return address in there. That way you further -- if you're the organization you further distance yourself from liability.

This bag is poison. This bag is disguised poison. If



you think for just a moment about what type of people abuse prescription oxycodone, it's your neighbor, it's my neighbor.

It's people who have the knee surgery and got hooked. They don't want to do heroin. They're not comfortable with the risk. They see a pharmaceutical grade pill that is a known quantity. They know how much they take to get high and how much they can take, and then you introduce poison and tragic consequences result.

When this group sold their pills on the Dark Web, they were paid a bitcoin. Bitcoin, it's a digital currency. It's pseudo-anonymous. It can be used anywhere. The trouble for this group, of course, is that they then, if they want cash, they have to launder that bitcoin back into a fiat currency. And our investigation is revealing that they spend a great deal of time doing that.

But before I talk more about bitcoin, I just wanted to take a minute and talk about one last risk that they faced when they brought additional people into their organization. The risk is that the more people who know, the easier it is for the Government to find out. And so, what we saw as we began to unravel the mystery that was their organization is that they took sophisticated and great lengths to disguise and conceal their communications.

So Government's Exhibit 5 -- and I won't dwell much on this -- this is an email that the Defendant wrote. He sent it to the same email address he wrote it from. He handed that email



address off to the two women that they had hired to package their pills.

So what you see here is a Subject, and then From:

passedtheps (phonetic) at SIGAINT, that's a secure email system,

and then To: passedtheps (phonetic), and then you see that

SIGAINT again. The reason these look different is because you

can access the security email system either using the Surface Web

or the Dark Web, but in reality this is an email to and from the

same email address.

He wrote it there, but he wrote it for those women. He explains in there how to set up PGP encryption. So PGP encryption is a series of algorithms and cryptography that allow communications to be shared securely and privately. He's explaining to them how to run the business his way to make it safe and then, of course, at the very end he tells them delete this. Don't leave any evidence. Because it was in the sent file and not the received file we have a copy of it.

They, as a business, were remarkably successful. The Government's Exhibit 6 just is a snapshot, a first approximation of the type of success they saw. And here's why I say that. So one of the online marketplaces that they were selling their pills on, AlphaBay, had the ability like many of, you know, the traditional online marketplaces we see, whether it's, you know, Amazon, or eBay, or the like -- the site had the ability for customers to leave feedback.



This is especially important in transactions that are more than arms-length like these. Of course, anonymity is king on the Dark Web, and so goodwill also becomes king if you're a Dark Web seller. You need people to trust that you can deliver on your promises and the feedback provides that tool. The feedback also has a benefit for law enforcement. We were able to look at every cell, in which there was feedback and the finalization, and we were able to see what they are selling, how much of it are they selling, and how much money they're making.

And here's how we calculated that last figure, the revenue. We took the amount in bitcoin that they sold it for and the value of bitcoin on the day in which that sale was finalized and created this. Now I will say bitcoin would have been a fine investment for all of us in the last little while. As of Friday, it was over \$1500. When I looked today, it's even higher. They made a killing. This, of course, is just a fraction of their sales, but they made a killing.

This Exhibit 7 -- I'll be very brief -- this is what a bitcoin looks like. This is from a bitcoin website where it kind of describes the benefits of using bitcoin including pseudo-anonymity.

In order to launder bitcoin, you have to find someone who is willing to buy your bitcoins for cash. One of the ways in which the Defendant went about doing that was a site called LocalBitcoins.com. Not only did he do that, but it appears that



his soon to be co-defendant, Mr. Shamo, also did.

I'll just be very brief with Exhibits 8 and 9. This is cash we took -- first Exhibit 8 -- cash we took out of Mr.

Shamo's house the same day we served the warrant. His comment to investigators was that he had cashed out a little bit of his bitcoin. That's \$1.2 million in cash. Exhibit 9, is another \$430,000 that he had stuffed away at his mom's house a few months prior.

Exhibit 10, is a screenshot from LocalBitcoins.com.

These are people here in our area, here in Hawaii, in Honolulu, who are willing to buy your bitcoins for cash, and you can see the price at which they're trading. So it pays to invest in bitcoin. Some of these are as high as \$1700. I might -- you know, the Prosecutor may see someone willing to buy it for 1450 and thinks maybe that's someone we should talk to.

Most of the time, the Defendant and Mr. Shamo they lose a little money on these transactions, so they're willing to sell their bitcoins, but the buyers who have a fiat currency like American dollars will buy it for 90 percent of the value and make a profit that way. If, of course, you've made your bitcoins illicitly, you're more than happy for a ten percent surcharge to turn it back into fiat currency.

LocalBitcoins.com is a Surface website, and it's indexed, and so my agents were able to go and search for Mr.

Crandall's username. They found that Mr. Crandall has laundered



bitcoins both in the Salt Lake City area and also in New Zealand and Australia. And they were able to determine that his profile on that one website had engaged in more than 70 transactions and that — they don't tell you how many specific bitcoins someone has moved through the site, but what they will do is categorize them. So he's between a 250 and 500 bitcoin exchanger, just being very conservative.

So if we say maybe he just made it 250 and if we use Friday's exchange rate, that's close to \$400,000 in cash that he's laundered through that cite.

MS. PARK: Your Honor, can I get a time frame of when those transactions occurred?

MR. GADD: Just since he's had his -- since he's had that particular login that we tied to him. And my memory, but maybe please don't hold me to this, my memory is that it's roughly 2014 to the present.

LocalBitcoins, which is just a website that puts people together has exchanges in place in 248, perhaps 249 countries. Essentially, it's worldwide. Even in countries where the governments don't allow it, it occurs. It makes it so bitcoins very much are a global currency. He can take his money and go anywhere in the world, and he'll have it, and he'll have access to local fiat currency.

Mr. Crandall left the United States in November of 2015. Co-conspirators have indicated that his girlfriend now



fiancé got spooked. They left. To my knowledge and to my agent's knowledge is that he has not come back until now.

Exhibit 11 is just some indication that they have in fact been

traveling. I noticed, once I saw the pretrial report, that he is not denying that he hasn't traveled. They live a jetsetter lifestyle.

If you can take as an adopted admission his fiancé's statements, they had no plans to return to the United States.

Instead, they planned to live in Australia, and he's even tried to pick up a job there, of all things, designing online marketplaces to sell things.

Even though he had left in November of 2015, Mr.

Crandall still worked for the organization and before the organization and Mr. Shamo was taken down and arrested, Mr.

Crandall had come back and started providing a service that he could provide with just a laptop and an internet connection anywhere in the world. He started doing some of the online customer support.

So he became the person who would gather the orders from the day, and then securely send it to these two women who had been hired to package the orders. At that point, they would hand them off to another co-conspirator whose job was to take the packages, run to the post offices throughout Salt Lake Valley, and ship them randomly.

The day after Mr. Shamo was arrested, Mr. Crandall's



fiancé reached out to one of their co-conspirators. It didn't take long as my agents began to unravel this case to find out that Mr. Crandall was a major part of that and that he was overseas. And so in a concerted effort -- and I don't make light of the fact that when you have five federal agents who is investigating, it takes a concerted effort -- in a concerted effort we went hands-off on the Crandalls and anyone associated with them, and we delayed interviews all in the hopes that he would make a mistake. That we could lull him into this false sense of invincibility and that he might be brazen enough to come back to the United States.

We obtained a complaint for him back in January hoping that he might just make this mistake. His fiancé wanted to get married in Hawaii. It was much closer for her family. Australia is a long ways away. Friday was her birthday. So they flew in on her birthday, undoubtedly as a birthday present.

We had been tipped off about a week prior that they were coming. My agents and I scrambled out to get here. Along with local HSI Agents who were instrumental and customs officials who were instrumental, they were escorted into interview rooms separately. The agents played dumb at first. Pretended like, you know, maybe we didn't know why they were here, just trying to get some information out of them.

And in that conversation Mr. Crandall said he didn't know much about bitcoin. And when pressed on it, he said, well,



he had bought one coin once. In the other room, of course, his fiancé said, oh, no, no, no, Mr. Crandall had sold his bitcoin for cash and that's the money they were using to travel. I'm not sure we've ever been far from Mr. Crandall's thoughts though and here's why I say that.

My agents conducted a border search of his devices and on his computer -- just in the last few weeks, his computer viewed Government's Exhibit 12. This is an article from a newspaper that discusses the last cocaine cowboy arrested after 26 years on the run, a notorious powerboat racing, mansion owning, playboy drug smuggler who was wanted by the federal government. These are the things he reads.

The Defendant, Mr. Crandall, came here to court. He submitted a financial affidavit to the Court. There is, I believe, no mention of his bitcoin holdings or all the money he's laundered back into fiat currencies on that financial affidavit. The Defendant gave financial information to Pretrial, and I know there's no mention of his bitcoin holdings or all that cash that he laundered in that financial information.

I talked for a minute about the cost — about the wild success of this organization, but I want to pause just for a moment and talk about the costs. When you release that much Fentanyl into society, and it's disguised as legitimate pharmaceutical pills, there's going to be consequences. It's been likened to firing a shotgun in a crowd.



As of today, my agents are investigating 40 potential overdose deaths, and their pills went nationwide. The District of Hawaii was not spared. And also as we find this information out, we send it out as quickly as we can to our local agencies, but the amount of pills is staggering and all the leads haven't been tracked down yet, but while we've been here we looked. There were 11 shipments sent here to Hawaii, and we have one potential overdose that we're looking into. In that one, at least it looks like some medical intervention saved a life.

I want to speak briefly now to the suggestion in the report that perhaps Mr. Crandall's parents could offer their home as a guarantee that he'll show up, that he won't run, that he won't continue his crimes, but I would like to speak instead of on their behalf, since I have no right to do so, I would like to speak on behalf of the parents who will never have that privilege. I would like to speak to Your Honor on behalf of the mom and the dad of every one of those victims.

You know, we talk so much about overdose and whether or not that is a good measure of how dangerous someone's conduct has been I think is debatable. In reality, every one of these pills that he sold has a story at the end of it. It cannot be measured, but it's a story of lives and relationships ruined. I will say this on behalf of those parents, there are no conditions of release that the Court could impose that will keep us safe.

By investigating Mr. Crandall, we've revealed to him



the one or two mistakes they made, and I'm confident he'll never make those again. Mr. Crandall has the means, at his disposal, to go anywhere in the world and live outside of our jurisdiction. He has the express desire to not be in the United States, and he's armed with the ability to start his organization at any time. It takes very little start-up costs and all of the knowledge that's in his head. We won't be safe if he's out, and I have no confidence that he'll appear with or without the posting of property as assurance.

I would ask the Court to detain him. We're anxious to bring this matter to a resolution in the District of Utah. I would ask the Court to detain him so that we can do so. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Park.

MS. PARK: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I think the Court is very, very aware that the weight of the evidence is the least factor that the Court should weigh in determining whether somebody should be released or not, and the Government spent about 15 minutes discussing the weight of the evidence.

But one of the things I would point out in the Government's statement that I think the Court should look at, and should weigh in regards to the issues that you are asked to decide today, which is flight risk, is the fact that the Government made it clear that they did not try to reach out to Mr. Crandall, or they did not try to even reach out to his



parents to let them know that they were looking for him.

So you don't -- you know, the inference that is made that he left the country because of this investigation just simply is not there. The co-defendant was arrested a year after he left. He had already been traveling abroad when the co-defendant was arrested. There is -- as the Government admits, they did not reach out to anyone in Mr. Crandall's circle to let them know that they were looking for him. They purposely stayed low.

So how do you have a Defendant -- how can you make a claim that this person is a flight risk or because of his foreign travel when there's no knowledge connecting that he was wanted or that they were looking for him, or that he was part of this conspiracy that they were charging him with.

Your Honor, I would ask the Court to consider the fact that in regards to the weight of the evidence that, you know, many of the things that the Government has said, we don't have any documentation or anything to support that. In the regards to the email that was sent, the Government made the proffer that that is the Defendant. I see the email, I know what the email says, but I don't know if that's tied to the Defendant or not.

THE COURT: I think probably we look more at what the allegations are that are in the complaint that, of course, is on file with the Court and, you know, there are serious allegations --



1 MS. PARK: There are serious allegations. 2 THE COURT: -- with regard to substantial large scale 3 drug trafficking. So that to me is perhaps more significant than 4 a lot of the collateral information here, I certainly would agree 5 with that, but you do have those allegations in the complaint, and I, you know --6 7 MS. PARK: And I do -- and I understand --8 THE COURT: Right. 9 MS. PARK: -- and I accept that. You know, they are 10 allegations at this point. This is a criminal complaint. 11 THE COURT: Right. 12 MS. PARK: This isn't an indictment --13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MS. PARK: -- so there hasn't been a finding by a grand 15 jury, but I do think what we have proffered -- or what we have 16 asked Pretrial Services to look at, by way of the parents, being 17 third-party custodians, posting of actual cash or even property 18 -- their home, I think is significant to address the issues of 19 flight risk. His parents are here. They're in court and the 20 Court can question them about being a third-party custodian. 21 In light of the serious allegations, Your Honor, I 22 would not ask this Court to release him on conditions of bail, 23 allow him like two weeks to return to Utah. I don't think that



Court at this time. What I would proffer is something very, very

that is necessary or something that I would even proffer to the

24

25

limited.

Basically, his parents are responsible for transporting him back to Utah, they have to post cash surety, and basically he would go from the Federal Detention Center to the Honolulu Airport, get on a plane with his parents and return to Utah, and then have to go to court immediately upon his return.

You know, we can move up court dates very, very easily, and -- we could do that, or we could keep him in custody until that could be accomplished, but I would not be asking for, you know, two weeks here in Hawaii, you know, to continue on with his plans to get married or to any of that. I mean I think those have to be put aside in order to deal with the seriousness of the allegation.

So that is something that I would ask the Court to consider is that very, very limited release, and then back in the District of Utah they can make a determination of whether they want to continue him on that release plan or whether they want to detain him at that time.

Your Honor, Pretrial Services raises some issues in regards to failure to appear because of past traffic violations. I would just ask the Court to note that those are traffic violations. Eventually all of that was cleared up and there is no outstanding warrants for him in Utah at all.

And in regards to any other possibility of foreign travel, the governments here have seized his passport. His



passport is, according to the Government's attorney, en route back to Utah. So we all know that you can't travel out of this country easily without a passport, but I would note that all of his foreign travel when he was traveling was with a U.S. passport. He wasn't using any other means to get around these countries. He wasn't presenting himself as a different individual. He was presenting himself as Drew Crandall, and used his U.S. passport, and traveled -- and left the country before this investigation even surfaced, and continued to travel because there was no indication that he was a target at that point in time.

If there had been some indication that he was a target, and then didn't return, I could see that argument, but I can't see the argument being accepted that, oh, we purposely kept a hush-hush kind of situation, and he didn't come back. He doesn't know to come back. There's no indication that he should be coming back. And there's nothing wrong with U.S. citizens traveling abroad and working abroad. Many people do it.

And so, I don't think that the Court can just on that basis infer that his foreign travel makes him a flight risk. I think the issue of dangerousness, I think the issue of flight risk are all taken into account with the proffer of a very, very limited release plan that we would proffer in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So on some of the specific points that Ms. Park has raised, any rebuttal or further



argument on that?

MR. GADD: Just very briefly. There was a suggestion that perhaps if we would have reached out to him, he would have come and quietly surrendered. That was belied by his fiancé checking with the co-defendant right after his co-defendant's arrest. I say co-defendant, co-conspirator. They in fact knew all about it, and they chose to stay abroad, because they knew the other shoe was going to drop.

In regards to taking his passport, it's true, it's en route. It's evidence of his crime. The Dark Web is a scary place, but the Defendant knows as well as I know passports are a few clicks away and bus -- and boat rides are maybe even closer. There are no series of conditions, nothing we've heard suggested that gives me any hope that he'll return to the District of Utah to stand trial. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You know, before I rule on the matter of detention I do want to just confirm with Mr.

Crandall that he is in full agreement with the plan to have a preliminary hearing --

MS. PARK: Oh, yes.

THE COURT: -- in Utah. So, Mr. Crandall, you of course have a right under the law to have your hearing held here and within a certain time frame, and, you know, the attorneys have indicated to me that everybody is in agreement that the hearing should -- you know, will take place in Utah. And that,



of course, would entail then a waiver of your right to insist upon that hearing being held, you know, relatively soon here in Hawaii.

So I know you probably have discussed this fully with Ms. Park, but I want to make sure this is your decision, and you're in agreement with that point. Is that the case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Very well, then -- so I -- you know, I will order that the preliminary hearing be delayed, which will involve a waiver of the right to a speedy preliminary hearing and to be held in Utah.

So in dealing with the matter of detention, you know, both of you have made very good points about this case. This is an unusual case to be sure. There certainly are allegations here of very sophisticated, large scale drug trafficking.

And while it is true that the charges are not among the most significant factors that the Court considers, in a case such as this where you have these kinds of allegations measured against what we may be able to do to address conditions of release, you know, these allegations are quite significant, and it certainly appears to me that in light of these allegations, you know, I would have to analyze well what could we really do to protect against what clearly, on its face, would consider a reason for concern with respect to a flight risk.

And while it is true that I think the family is doing



all it can to propose certain financial — a basis to protect against the flight, I think measured against the serious issues in this case, it just isn't really sufficient and, frankly, I don't think there are any conditions in light of the allegations here that would cause me enough confidence that he would not pose a serious flight risk. I think the evidence most definitely has demonstrated by — principally the issues involved in this case indicate to me that by a preponderance of the evidence that he is a flight risk.

Now, has he resided in Australia and outside the country in order to avoid addressing the concern of this case, you know, that may well be. I'm not so convinced of that at this point. I am persuaded that given the sophistication that's involved in this case that there are certain -- and the Defendant's experience and knowledge with regard to foreign travel, that there's too much at stake here, and it's very clear to me that he possesses certainly the skillset and ability with his foreign travel experience to be able to potentially avoid appearing as required.

So those are factors that I think do aggravate the circumstances of this case. So the bottom line is really that I don't think the proposal concerning cash and home equity really is sufficient under the circumstances here to really balance against what are clearly very serious drug trafficking issues in this case.



I don't think there are any conditions that would satisfy the Court at this time, but I will say this, that, you know, we are somewhat limited in a case like this where it is not in our district, and I -- what I have before me now certainly indicates that he poses a substantial serous flight risk, but I see this, you know, based on the limited information I have presented by way of the complaint and the representations that are made here in court. I think certainly you can raise these issues if you wish and other matters in the district in which the case is brought to further explore it.

Taking the kind of chance or risk that you're suggesting, Ms. Park, for a temporary release is really not satisfactory under the circumstances here. So I'm granting the Government's motion to detain. You know, the matter can be raised anew in the District of Utah. And I will remand Mr. Crandall to the custody of the Marshals. Commit him to the district -- to be taken to the District of Utah for further proceedings in connection with this case.

Okay. I don't have the papers in connection with that here before me now. I don't know if you have those papers available.

MR. CHING: Your Honor, we've prepared it.

THE COURT: I'm going to go ahead and sign off on the waiver of the preliminary hearing.

MR. CHING: We also, Your Honor, have the commitment.



1 THE COURT: Okay. Let me go ahead and sign off on 2 Okay. Very well, anything further to take up in this case 3 at this time? MS. PARK: Date for him to appear in Utah, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, do you have something set up there? 6 MR. GADD: I did in the event that he was released. 7 However, I think the way we typically handle it is we'll have the 8 Marshals bring him just as soon as possible. He'll immediately 9 have his initial appearance in front of our Magistrate there and 10 will have an attorney appointed if he in fact qualifies, and then 11 from there we'll immediately move into arraignment. 12 THE COURT: Okay, so there isn't anything set up really 13 at this point then? 14 MR. GADD: No. No, it all depends on the Marshals. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So my order will be that he be taken 16 as soon as practicable and possible to the District of Utah to 17 respond to the charges and that as soon as he is present there 18 the matter can be set on for further proceedings. 19 MR. GADD: Yes, sir, we will. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MS. PARK: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Stand in recess. 23 THE CLERK: All rise. 24 (Proceedings Concluded) 25



CERTIFICATE

I, Jessica B. Cahill, court approved transcriber, do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753, the foregoing is a complete, true, and correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Dated: October 6, 2017

Jessica B. Cahill, CER/CET-708

845 North 3rd Avenue

